

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,366	07/23/2003	Tushar V. Choudhary		8227
759	90 04/27/2006		EXAMINER	
RICHMOND, HITCHCOCK, FISH & DOLLAR			NGUYEN, CAM N	
P.O. Box 2443 Bartlesville, Ok	ζ 74005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, .			1754	<u></u>
			DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>
	10/625,366	CHOUDHARY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam N. Nguyen	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONUTE, cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02/	/10/06 (an amendment/resp	onse).	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•		is is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 37-56 is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctable The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyant oction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Burest * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	 □		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicants' amendment and remarks, filed February 10, 2006, has been made of record and entered. Claims 1 & 16 have been amended.

Claims 1-56 are currently pending.

Status of Withdrawn Claim(s)

- 2. Claims 37-56 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
- 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on August 26, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-14 & 16-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sughrue et al., "hereinafter Sughrue", (US Pat. 6,254,766).

Sughrue discloses a process for the production of a sorbent composition which

Art Unit: 1754

comprises: (a) admixing of zinc oxide, silica and alumina so as to form a mix thereof...; (b) particulating the resulting mix so as to form particles thereof; (c) drying the particulate of step (b); (d) calcining the dried particulate of step (c); (e) impregnating the resulting calcined particulate of step (d) with nickel or a nickel-containing compound; (f) drying the impregnated particulate of step (e); (g) calcining the dried particulate of step (f); and thereafter (h) reducing the resulting calcined particulate of step (g), etc. (see col. 14, claim 7). The mix is in the form of one of a wet mix, dough, part or slurry (see col. 14, claim 8). The particles are in the form of one of granules, extrudates, tablets, pellets, or micropores (see col. 14, claim 9). The claimed process conditions are fully disclosed at col. 14, claim 11 & claim 12).

While Sughue does not disclose including a promoter (or nickel) in the admixing step (a), it would have been *prima facie obvious* to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added such promoter to the mixture in the admixing step (a) of Sughue in order to achieve a promoted and effective catalyst composition, and in view of step (e) of the reference which teaches to impregnate the resulting calcined particulate with nickel (see Sughue at col. 14, claim 7).

Applicants claiming step (e), which is "recovering said composition" is noted. It is considered the inclusion of this recovering step in the process of Sughue is inherent in order to collect the most out of the final product resulted from the process.

Art Unit: 1754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)/103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 15 & 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sughrue et al., "hereinafter Sughrue", (US Pat. 6,254,766).

Sughue discloses the claimed composition (see above).

Product-by-process limitations in the claims are noted. It is considered that while the composition is not made by the same process, the composition made is the same as being claimed. Thus, the process limitations in the claims have no bearing on the patentability of the claimed product per se. See <u>In re Thorpe</u>, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985); <u>In re Brown</u>, 173 USPQ 688, 688 (CCPA 1977); <u>In re Fessman</u>, 180 USPQ 324, 326 (CCPA 1977). See also *MPEP 2113*.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,366 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Response to Applicants' Arguments

7. Applicants' amendment and remarks filed on February 10, 2006 has been reconsidered, but not deemed persuasive in view of the new ground of rejections above.

Conclusion

- 8. Claims 1-56 are pending in the application. Claims 1-36 are rejected. Claims 37-56 remain withdrawn due to nonelected (distinct) invention. No claims are allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Cam N Nguyen, whose telephone number is 571-272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, R, & F, 9:00 AM 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,366

Art Unit: 1754

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen/cnn Office April 21, 2006

Art Unit - 1754